WHEN THE COLLECTOR IS GONE

The Realities of Stewardship, Succession, and Market Transition for Collector Vehicles

Barry W. Finkelstein, Esq.
Attorney at Law
Santa Clara, California

White Paper
2026
www.bwfesq.com
barry@bwfesq.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Over the past five decades, a generation of Baby Boomer collectors assembled some of the largest and most historically significant private memorabilia and automobile collections in history. These collections were built during a period of expanding personal wealth, abundant industrial space, relatively low carrying costs, and strong cultural identification with postwar automotive design and performance. This cohort is now entering advanced age. As its members pass away, a growing number of collections are being transferred to heirs who for many reasons may lack the capacity, interest, or infrastructure to steward them, or they are intended for donation or sale, often without the vetting necessary to ensure successful outcomes.

This paper examines what actually happens to vehicle collections after the owner's death, why outcomes often differ dramatically from owners' expectations, and what other options are available.

It is the collectors' lifetime passion that preserved their collection, but ongoing preservation requires structure, funding, authority, and timing, not just sentiment. Collector cars are space-intensive, mechanically vulnerable assets that demand precise ongoing stewardship. When that is not practically, legally, and financially engineered in advance, the default action is often liquidation and a significant loss of value. This dynamic is not unique to automobiles, comparable patterns exist in art, wine, watches, firearms, aviation, and other enthusiast-driven pursuits and collections. The automotive sector is experiencing this transition earlier and more visibly than others due to the physical demands of storage and maintenance.

This paper is not an argument for or against inheritance, donation, liquidation, or auction. Its objective is to replace anecdote and mythology with observable patterns. It clarifies the constraints faced by heirs, museums, and estate administrators. It identifies planning failures that lead to poor outcomes and presents realistic planning models that align expectations with reality. Effective transition requires coordination across legal, financial, institutional, and market disciplines.

For the collector, ownership is a substantial financial commitment undertaken for the temporary custodianship of culturally historic and mechanically significant objects rather than permanent personal possession. The collector functions as a temporary steward within a longer chain of ownership, responsible for the transfer of unique cultural artifacts so they remain meaningful, functional, and valued throughout many collectors' lifetimes.

Who This Paper Is For:

- Collectors with significant automobile holdings.
- Administrators of large estates.
- Heirs and family members likely to inherit collections.
- Museum professionals navigating donation requests.
- Auction houses and storage operators working with large estates.
- Advisors involved in estate, tax, and asset planning.

SECTION 1: COMING TRANSITION OF COLLECTOR ASSETS

The transition described in the Executive Summary is not theatrical. It is demographic, measurable, and underway. Baby Boomers are approximately 75–79 million Americans born between 1946 and 1964[1]. In 2019, the Pew Research Center estimated there were 71.9 million Baby Boomers in the United States[2]. More recent estimates based on 2024 data put the number between 64–67 million, or about 20% of the U.S. population[3]. The Boomers represent a significant share of U.S. wealth, including collector vehicles. As mortality increases across this cohort, the next several decades will witness one of the largest intergenerational wealth transfers in U.S. history[4]. Precise ownership data is not publicly quantifiable. However, market experience suggests that high-value collector vehicles are concentrated among a relatively small set of owners.

Art collections are curated and displayed to be enjoyed. Unlike vehicles, paintings and sculptures are far easier to maintain and store. Many art collectors are not artists themselves but are passionate about color and style, history, and antiquity. Most vehicle collectors began with vehicles they drove, raced, and worked on themselves, creating a connection not typically shared with a painting or sculpture. The hours spent in the garage, on the track, driving, and at auctions and car shows are the manifestation of the collectors' passion. The stories they share connect them with history, culture, and other collectors. Ownership can be handed down; that kind of passion cannot.

Not all vehicle collections are created equally. Calling a grouping of vehicles 'a collection' can mean many different things. Even if the estate administrator is as knowledgeable as the collector, appraisals are necessary to document the collection's identity and value before division among heirs, donation for tax purposes, or sale, particularly when vehicles are in varying conditions. Understanding the terminology used to classify condition and market significance is essential to understanding valuation. Terms used to describe vehicle quality include:

Concours. Refers to a vehicle that is essentially flawless and has the correct finishes, materials, and hardware. It is over-restored or restored to factory-new, or better and is suitable for top-tier judged events like Pebble Beach Concours d'Elegance or Amelia Island Concours d'Elegance.

Almost Concours. Refers to a vehicle of extremely high quality with minor deviations from originality. It may show slight wear and still be competitive at most shows but not top-flight national wins.

---

[1] U.S. Census Bureau, Baby Boomer Cohort Demographic Profile Census.gov

[2] Pew Research Center, Baby Boomers Approach 66 – Glumly, December 2010

[3] U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates Program 2024 demographic estimates.

[4] Cerulli Associates. The Cerulli Report U.S. High-Net-Worth and Ultra-High-Net-Worth Markets, wealth transfer projections

Show Quality. Refers to a vehicle with excellent presentation, but not necessarily 100% correct. It has strong cosmetics and is mechanically sorted and suitable for local and regional shows.

Driver Quality. Refers to a vehicle that is presentable and roadworthy with some cosmetic or authenticity compromises and is more likely to be enjoyed rather than judged.

Survivor. Refers to a vehicle that is largely original and unrestored and retains the original finishes and components and is often highly prized in certain markets.

Preservation Class Vehicle. Refers to a vehicle that is similar to a Survivor vehicle and is eligible for special concours classes focused on originality.

Project Vehicle. Refers to a vehicle that is incomplete and needs restoration.

Basket Case. Refers to a vehicle that is severely disassembled with major components missing.

Terms used to describe a vehicle's market significance include:

Blue-Chip. Refers to a vehicle that is globally recognized, investment-grade, supported by strong international demand, limited production, and important provenance. These vehicles are often traded through RM Sotheby's, Gooding Christie's, or Bonhams.

Investment-Grade. Refers to a vehicle that has market depth, a proven appreciation history, and strong liquidity.

Trophy Vehicle. Refers to a vehicle purchased for prestige and is often concours-level and historically significant.

Halo. Refers to a vehicle that is considered the pinnacle model of a marque.

Iconic. Refers to a vehicle that is widely recognized culturally and historically.

Benchmark. Refers to a vehicle that is the best-known example of its model and is often a reference point for valuation.

Matching Numbers. A valuation descriptor referring to the original engine, transmission, chassis, and other major components.

Numbers-Correct. Refers to a vehicle that has proper components for the model year, even if not original to the chassis.

Single-Owner Collection. Refers to vehicles originating from a long-term private collection. This distinction is significant in auction marketing and carries greater weight than most non-collectors realize.

Coachbuilt Vehicle. Refers usually to a pre-1970 vehicle with custom bodywork by a specialist builder and is commonly found in Blue-Chip European Vehicles from Zagato, Touring, Pininfarina (early work), and Figoni et Falaschi.

Every vehicle in a collection appealed to its collector, and that appreciation was shared by others in the market. The more that is understood about each vehicle, the more effectively its value can be preserved during transition.

SECTION 2: COLLECTOR–HEIR MISMATCH

Deciding who will inherit a collection is one of the most consequential decisions a collector must make. The question is not simply who receives the cars, but whether the intended heirs truly want them — and if they do, whether they have the capacity to steward them responsibly. Even when heirs express interest, the structural realities remain: Do they have the space? The financial resources? The time? Without these, valuable vehicles may deteriorate, fall into disuse, or become someone else's restoration project.

Most collectors reading this paper received their driver's licenses at sixteen and owned their first car by eighteen. Today, many members of Gen Z of driving age are not learning to drive at all. Many have little desire to own an expensive asset that sits unused twenty-three hours a day while still requiring storage, insurance, maintenance, and fuel. Ride-sharing services substitute for ownership. Even among those who do drive, manual transmissions are increasingly unfamiliar. The appeal of an antique vehicle — with limited utility, lower fuel efficiency, and higher maintenance demands — does not resonate in the same way it once did. Gen X and Millennials operate within a financial and cultural framework that prioritizes liquidity, mobility, and technological integration. Modern vehicles offer near science-fiction levels of automation and convenience. Sentiment alone rarely justifies the time and financial commitment required to preserve a prior generation's passion. For many heirs, the vehicles represent value to be converted, not heritage to be maintained. As a museum professional explained, "Younger generations are interested in collector cars, just not pre-war cars"[5].

The transition challenge is therefore not emotional resistance but structural divergence. The generation that built these collections operated in a different economic, technological, and cultural environment than the generation that will inherit them. The friction between those environments is predictable.

When collectors die, beneficiaries are legally entitled to clarity regarding what they are inheriting. The more complex the collection, the more essential it is that transition planning be intentional and precisely drafted. It is risky to assume that "the kids will work it out." Communication is often the most overlooked component of successful transition planning. Collectors must understand what their heirs want — and equally important, heirs must understand the collector's intentions. The attorney or successor trustee should not be placed in the position of delivering unexpected disappointments. Alignment during life prevents conflict after death.

The children of the Baby Boomer generation are now in their fifties and sixties, often with established careers and households that do not revolve around antique automobiles. Housing,

[5] Attribution withheld at the request of the institution.

maintaining, insuring, and exercising a vehicle collection requires repetition and accumulated experience. Collectors develop relationships with mechanics, insurers, parts suppliers, auction houses, and fellow enthusiasts over decades. This ecosystem of support does not transfer automatically with title.

Even when heirs are willing, practical considerations remain. Storage space must be secured. Maintenance must be funded. Knowledge must be acquired. These are not abstract obligations; they are recurring operational responsibilities. Large collections are not passive assets. They function as operating enterprises with mechanical vulnerability and recurring oversight requirements.

Division among multiple heirs introduces additional complications. Which vehicles remain together for historical integrity? How are tools, parts inventories, and documentation allocated? A collection often includes only one set of specialized equipment assembled over decades. Fragmentation can dilute both value and usability.

Real estate considerations further complicate matters. If the collector's residence houses the vehicles and must be sold, new storage arrangements must be secured promptly. In states such as California, inherited real property (other than a qualifying primary residence) is reassessed to fair market value at death, significantly increasing property tax obligations. If one heir must purchase additional real estate interests from siblings to equalize distributions, that transferred portion also triggers reassessment. Expenses that were manageable for the collector over decades can become materially burdensome for heirs. These economic realities must be evaluated candidly.

Collectors of art, wine, firearms, watches, aviation assets, and fine craftsmanship face similar dynamics. Collections are assembled through personal passion and experiential knowledge. They are uniquely meaningful to the collector and often less so to successors. This mismatch is structural. It reflects demographic transition, capital mobility, urbanization, technological change, and shifting lifestyle economics — not individual family failure.

The transitional generation often serves as the adhesive within a family. Once that layer is gone, financial interests can strain sibling relationships. Clear communication and structured planning mitigate that risk. In some cases, heirs may reasonably maintain one or two vehicles while the remainder of the collection is sold or donated. In other cases, once heirs fully understand the financial and time commitments involved, they may decide in advance that ownership is not appropriate for them.

With substantial wealth comes structural responsibility. Where familial tensions exist, acknowledging them early allows for thoughtful solutions. Leaving complex mechanical assets to individuals unwilling or unable to steward them risks both financial loss and historical diminishment. Inheritance may be a legal entitlement; stewardship is a practical obligation. Setting expectations, documenting intentions, and formalizing decisions are simple but powerful tools.

In many jurisdictions vehicles may transfer outside full probate through DMV title procedures or affidavits, but collections still require careful administration. Valuation disputes, creditor claims,

tax reporting, storage contracts, insurance coverage, and fiduciary liability all demand structured oversight. Documentation reduces friction. Clarity reduces cost.

Whatever decisions the collector makes must be reflected in a formal estate plan if they are to be acted upon. Once legal structure is in place, the next question is not whether transition will occur, but how. Collections ultimately move in only a limited number of directions: they are retained, sold, donated, institutionalized, fragmented, or consolidated. Each pathway carries its own economic, tax, governance, and market implications. Understanding those pathways — and planning deliberately among them — determines whether value is preserved or quietly dissipated.

SECTION 3: DISPOSITION PATHWAYS

When vehicles are not retained by beneficiaries, they ultimately move through one of three principal pathways: institutionalization through private foundations, donation to museums, or sale into the market. Each pathway carries distinct economic, governance, tax, and stewardship implications. The choice is not merely transactional; it determines how capital is preserved, assets are governed, and stewardship is transferred.

Private Foundations

Private foundations are created by collectors seeking an alternative to inheritance, donation, or outright sale. They establish a structured charitable framework intended to provide long-term preservation of historically significant vehicles. Foundations can institutionalize stewardship, but they also impose enduring financial and governance obligations independent of the founding collector.

A private foundation is a 501(c)(3) charitable organization typically funded and controlled by a single individual, family, or estate. Unlike public charities, which receive broad public support, private foundations are capitalized with a defined pool of assets.

Foundations must operate exclusively for charitable purposes, such as preservation of historically significant vehicles, public education, exhibition programming, institutional loans, or grant-making. They are not simply vehicles for asset storage. Once transferred, the vehicles cease to be private property and become charitable assets governed by nonprofit law, fiduciary standards, and regulatory oversight.

Responsibility shifts from the collector to a governing board. Administrative obligations continue indefinitely, independent of the founder's vision. Foundations are subject to annual distribution requirements — generally approximately 5% of asset value — even when primary assets are illiquid, such as vehicle collections[6]. They are also subject to strict federal self-dealing rules; vehicles cannot generally be used by donors, family members, or other disqualified persons, nor

[6] Internal Revenue Service, *Private Foundation Distribution Requirements*, IRS.gov.

sold to insiders[7]. Successful foundations are typically supported by sufficient endowment funding, income-producing assets, stable governance participation, and long-term facility planning. Without those elements, preservation risks becoming financially burdensome rather than protective.

Museums

Donation to a museum is often the collector's preferred legacy strategy. However, there are significantly more museum-quality vehicles in private hands than there is institutional capacity to house them. Reputable museums maintain formal acquisition policies and review committees. Vehicles are evaluated based on historical significance, rarity, authenticity, provenance, condition, and alignment with institutional mission. Donations may be declined because the museum already holds similar examples, the vehicle lacks documentation, or maintenance costs exceed available resources. Some institutions require endowment support to accept a gift.

Even accepted vehicles are not guaranteed perpetual retention. Museums may deaccession assets to refine collections, raise funds, or adjust curatorial focus. Courts have applied cy pres principles to modify donor restrictions in certain circumstances. Institutional permanence cannot be assumed. The concept of "forever" retention is often aspirational rather than absolute. In the United States, most museums are privately funded nonprofits operating under financial constraints. In other countries, state or institutionally funded museums may have broader acquisition and maintenance resources. These differences materially affect acceptance and retention prospects.

Museum Loans

Loans provide a temporary stabilization strategy rather than final disposition. Following a collector's death, estates often face three simultaneous pressures: heirs may be unprepared to sell, the estate administration process may be incomplete, and market timing may be unfavorable. Loans defer final disposition; they do not eliminate the need for structural decision-making.

A museum loan places vehicles into professional custody with public visibility while allowing time for documentation, valuation, and strategic planning. Loans do not resolve ultimate disposition but can preserve condition and historical context during transition. When structured thoughtfully, loans may enhance provenance and market visibility. Exhibition history, scholarly reference, and institutional documentation can increase desirability for historically significant vehicles. However, loans should be executed with defined timeframes and a clear next step — whether donation, facilitated sale, independent liquidation, or return to the estate.

[7] Internal Revenue Code §4941, Self-Dealing Rules for Private Foundations

Sales

Sale is the most common pathway for large collections, particularly where heirs prefer liquidity or estate equalization requires capital conversion. During lifetime, capital gains are calculated based on the difference between cost basis and sale price. At death, assets generally receive a step-up in basis to fair market value as of the date of death. Immediate post-death sales often minimize capital gains exposure when sale price approximates stepped-up basis at date of death[8]. However, timing matters. If sales occur within six months of death and the estate elects alternate valuation (when applicable), sale price may establish basis[9]. Sales occurring later may require appraisal to substantiate date-of-death value. Taxable estates filing Form 706 often require formal appraisal regardless of timing.

Trust-level taxation introduces additional planning considerations. After death, revocable trusts typically become irrevocable. Irrevocable trusts reach the highest federal capital gains rate at significantly lower income thresholds than individual beneficiaries. In certain cases, transferring vehicles to beneficiaries before sale may result in materially different tax outcomes. Liquidation strategy must therefore be coordinated with fiduciary, tax, and timing considerations.

Auction Market Structure

The sale of collectible vehicles occurs across several distinct market platforms. International auction houses such as RM Sotheby's, Bonhams, and Gooding Christie's typically handle historically significant vehicles with global buyer participation. High-volume auction platforms such as Mecum and Barrett-Jackson emphasize liquidity and scale. Specialist and enthusiast-driven platforms, including Bring a Trailer and regional auction houses, serve active mid-market collector segments.

Tier One – International Blue-Chip Market

The leading global auction houses — Bonhams, RM Sotheby's, and Gooding Christie's — operate as curators and market-makers rather than merely auctioneers. They conduct single-owner collection sales, curated consignments, sealed bids, and private treaty transactions.

Their distinguishing features are comprehensive consignment infrastructure: physical custody, condition review, cataloging, professional photography, scholarly catalog essays, provenance research, global marketing, buyer vetting, payment processing, title coordination, and cross-border logistics. These firms maintain international offices, cultivate museum relationships, and actively

[8] Internal Revenue Code §1014, Basis of Property Acquired from a Decedent.

[9] Internal Revenue Code §2032, Alternate Valuation Date for Estate Tax Purposes.

market to global buyer networks. Events such as Monterey function less as domestic auctions and more as international capital markets for collector cars.

Tier One platforms are best suited for historically significant, museum-level, and Blue-Chip assets where price maximization and global exposure are paramount.

Tier Two – High-Volume Premium Auctions

Mecum and Barrett-Jackson operate high-visibility, high-volume platforms combining spectacle, entertainment, and liquidity. While exceptional vehicles may achieve seven or eight-figure results, these platforms are not structured around singular museum-critical consignments. Results may be influenced by placement timing, crowd dynamics, and event atmosphere. The tradeoff is broader exposure and faster execution. Tier Two platforms often serve estates seeking speed, certainty, and broad-market reach.

Tier Three – Specialist and Regional Platforms

Bring a Trailer, Silverstone Auctions, Worldwide Auctioneers, Hemmings, GAA, and regional houses serve serious enthusiast markets and mid-tier collections. These platforms are well-suited for assets in the mid-market range where execution efficiency, reduced transport complexity, and predictable clearance rates are priorities.

In simplified terms, Tier One platforms prioritize price maximization and global capital flows; Tier Two platforms prioritize liquidity and spectacle; Tier Three platforms prioritize execution efficiency.

International Markets

International sales can materially enhance returns for certain vehicles. Preferences vary by country based on automotive heritage, import regulation, taxation, racing history, climate, currency strength, and wealth concentration. Certain marques and configurations consistently perform better in specific jurisdictions.

International marketing is therefore not anecdotal; it is deliberate price optimization. Leading auction houses cultivate cross-border networks precisely because demand is geographically differentiated. Disposition decisions that ignore international markets risk leaving material value unrealized.

Ultimately, disposition is not a single decision but a coordinated process requiring alignment of fiduciary authority, tax strategy, market selection, timing, and institutional realities. Absent coordination, value erodes quietly. With deliberate structure, collections transition in a manner that preserves both capital and historical significance.

SECTION 4: MARKET EFFECTS OF ESTATE LIQUIDATION

Estate-Driven Supply Waves

Large collections rarely enter the market gradually; they typically appear in concentrated periods driven by probate timelines, trustee decisions, storage costs, or heirs' liquidity needs. Because the collector-car market is relatively thin compared to public capital markets, these estate-driven supply waves can temporarily outpace buyer demand, placing downward pressure on pricing within affected segments. The effect is most visible when multiple estates containing similar vehicles are liquidated within a short period. The market is not necessarily shrinking; supply is arriving faster than demand can absorb it. To preserve value, large collections are often segmented and sold over time to avoid overwhelming the market and depressing segment pricing.

Segment Bifurcation - Blue-Chip vs. Generational Collectibles

Estate liquidation pressures do not affect all collector vehicles equally. The market increasingly shows bifurcation between historically significant "Blue-Chip" automobiles and generational hobby collectibles. Blue-Chip vehicles – historically important racing cars, rare European marques, and Coachbuilt classics draw global buyers and institutional interest. Their value is anchored in cultural and historical significance rather than generational nostalgia. By contrast, many mid-century American collector vehicles are more closely tied to the generation that collected them. As ownership transitions, supply may increase without a corresponding expansion in demand, thereby creating pricing pressure within those segments. This divergence mirrors structural bifurcation observed in other collectible markets, including antiques, coins, watches, and certain categories of fine art.

Value Erosion in Rushed Estate Sales

Estate timelines can conflict with optimal market timing. When collections are liquidated quickly, several factors may reduce realized value, including inadequate marketing time, deferred maintenance, improper sale sequencing, and venue mismatch. Collections typically achieve stronger results when marketed strategically rather than liquidated as inventory under fiduciary time pressure. Auction houses recognize this dynamic and frequently structure single-owner sales to preserve narrative value and buyer engagement.

Ownership Concentration and Market Liquidity

Although major collections are increasingly concentrated among fewer ultra-high-net-worth collectors and institutions, this concentration does not automatically raise prices. Wealth concentration may reduce transaction volume; fewer active bidders can diminish competitive tension. Museums and long-term collectors frequently remove vehicles from circulation, reducing

repeat resale supply. As a result, ownership concentration may stabilize important vehicles while reducing overall market liquidity.

The following historical examples illustrate the range of viable liquidation strategies and the structural decisions that shaped their outcomes.

The Indianapolis Motor Speedway Museum deaccession (2025), sold by RM Sotheby's for over $123.6 million, segmented 11 cars across Stuttgart, Paris, and Miami, matching each vehicle to the market where demand was strongest[10]. The result demonstrates how institutional provenance and deliberate international market selection can maximize returns on historically significant vehicles.

The Pinnacle Portfolio (2015), also sold by RM Sotheby's for more than $75.4 million at Monterey, demonstrates how cohesion can enhance value[11]. This highly curated collection included a McLaren F1, Bugatti Veyrons, and other marques alongside Ferraris.

The Stan Lucas Collection (2025) sold by Gooding Christie's for $33.9 million[12], held at Lucas Classic Tires in Long Beach, prewar-focused, and presented without reserve, illustrates how deep provenance and cohesive collection identity drive strong results even in a specialized segment.

There is no universally optimal liquidation model. Strategy must align with collection composition, segment depth, vehicle condition, provenance strength, estate timing constraints, and carrying costs. Collection transition planning is therefore a specialized discipline, not merely an auction selection decision.

Market conditions alone do not determine outcomes. Estate structure, governance clarity, documentation, liquidity planning, and sequencing decisions materially influence how collections perform under liquidation pressure. When planning fails to anticipate market realities, value erosion is often attributed to "the market" rather than to preventable structural shortcomings. The next section examines the planning failures that most frequently undermine otherwise valuable collections.

SECTION 5: PLANNING FAILURES THAT DESTROY VALUE

Collections rarely lose value all at once; more often, value erodes quietly through administrative gaps, unclear authority, poor documentation, unrealistic assumptions about post-death execution, and the delays those disconnects generate. These failures are typically unintentional. They arise because collectors focus on acquisition and preservation during life, while transition planning receives far less structured attention.

---

[10] Stuttgart: RM Sotheby's, *Indianapolis Motor Speedway Museum Collection — Stuttgart*, Mercedes-Benz Museum, Stuttgart, February 1, 2025; Paris: RM Sotheby's, *Indianapolis Motor Speedway Museum Collection — Paris*, Rétromobile Week, Paris, February 4–5, 2025; Miami: RM Sotheby's, *Indianapolis Motor Speedway Museum Collection — Miami*, ModaMiami, Miami, February 27–28, 2025.

[11] RM Sotheby's, *The Pinnacle Portfolio Auction Results*, Monterey, August 2015.

[12] Gooding Christie's, *The Stan Lucas Collection Auction Results*, Long Beach, California, September 20, 2025.

When execution planning is absent, the financial, historical, and cultural value of a collection can decline materially. The following failures are most frequently observed in collector estates.

Inadequate Estate Planning

There are three foundational estate planning approaches: reliance on title alone ("the No-Plan Plan"), Wills, and Revocable Living Trusts. The No-Plan Plan depends entirely on title designations such as Joint Tenancy, Community Property, Transfer-on-Death registrations, or statutory affidavits. Assets pass automatically according to title. When collectors die without a Will or trust, assets transfer by intestate succession through probate, and heirs typically receive assets outright upon reaching legal adulthood following probate administration. Wills also require probate — a public proceeding in which distributions become part of the public record. In jurisdictions such as California, probate can be time-consuming and expensive. Neither the No-Plan Plan nor a simple Will provide effective management during incapacity.

Analysis Paralysis

Estate administration requires numerous interdependent actions executed in proper sequence. Estate planning documents must be located and interpreted; assets secured; valuations obtained; liquidity assessed; contracts reviewed; and disposition strategies determined. The role of estate administrator is not ceremonial; it is operationally demanding. Most successor trustees are trustworthy and well-intentioned, but few are trained in complex asset transition. Professional advisors — attorneys, CPAs, insurance brokers, financial advisors, real estate professionals, and auction specialists — each operate within their respective domains.

Without a coordinated transition framework, the estate administrator becomes the central decision-maker without a roadmap. Competing demands from beneficiaries, advisors, and service providers can overwhelm even capable individuals. Uncertainty about sequencing, authority, and priority often leads to delay. Delay, in turn, compounds carrying costs. In extreme cases, decision paralysis results in rushed or poorly structured liquidation simply to end the pressure. Value erosion in these cases is not market-driven; it is process-driven.

Titles Not Aligned

Collector vehicles do not always need to be titled in a revocable trust. In many jurisdictions, statutory DMV procedures allow transfer outside of full probate. The failure is not that the vehicles were "left out of the trust." The failure occurs when title structure, estate planning documents, and intended disposition are not coordinated.

Common misalignments include:

- Vehicles titled solely in the decedent's individual name while the trust directs sale or donation.

- Vehicles held in an LLC where the operating agreement lacks clear post-death authority provisions.
- Jointly titled vehicles may only receive a partial step-up in basis at the first death, depending on ownership structure.
- Collections titled inconsistently across individual, joint, and entity ownership structures.
- No written assignment or schedule connecting the collection to the estate plan.
- No documented disposition strategy (auction, private treaty, museum, foundation).

The result is not necessarily probate — it is confusion, delay, and diminished negotiating leverage. Alignment requires that title structure and disposition strategy support the execution plan.

Heirs Without Education or Guidance

When parents designate children as successor trustees, they often assume practical knowledge will follow authority. In reality, most heirs have no operational familiarity with maintaining or transitioning a vehicle collection. They may not know the collection's market value, which vehicles carry historical significance, where documentation is stored, how the market functions, or whom to contact. They may lack appropriate storage facilities or maintenance relationships. This knowledge gap generates hesitation and anxiety at precisely the moment decisive action is required. Heirs are also navigating grief, family dynamics, and personal obligations. None of this occurs in isolation. Without structured guidance, even well-intentioned heirs can make defensible but suboptimal decisions that unintentionally reduce value.

Entity-Owned Collections

Collections held in LLCs, partnerships, or foundations present additional complexity. Membership interests, operating agreements, and transfer provisions are frequently incomplete or inconsistent with the estate plan. Authority to manage or liquidate assets may be ambiguous. These situations rarely result in litigation. More commonly, they produce uncertainty — and uncertainty delays execution. Prolonged inaction increases carrying costs and compresses optionality. The economic consequence is not dramatic loss but gradual value erosion.

No Operating Cash Reserve

Collectors frequently underestimate the liquidity required to maintain and transition a collection after death. Storage facilities, insurance premiums, transportation, preservation, restoration work, and auction preparation all continue regardless of estate readiness. If the collector personally funded these obligations during life, successors may require time to understand the full operational burden. Without a defined operating reserve, trustees may be forced to sell assets prematurely or accept unfavorable terms simply to generate cash flow. In large collections, even modest delays

can materially compound financial pressure. Liquidity planning is rarely integrated into estate design, yet it is among the most powerful value-preservation tools available.

Storage Contracts in Decedent's Name

Storage facilities, restoration shops, private garages, and transport providers are often contracted directly with the collector. While a power of attorney may preserve access during incapacity, that authority terminates at death. This creates practical complications: access authorization, payment approval, liability clarification, and release restrictions. Facilities may require formal documentation before releasing vehicles, even where the trustee holds authority. These administrative obstacles do not destroy value immediately, but they introduce friction, delay, and risk exposure that translate into economic cost over time.

No Clear Sale Authority

Trust instruments typically grant trustees broad authority to sell assets and retain professionals. Legal authority is rarely the constraint; structural preparation is. Breakdowns occur when trustees are given control over high-value assets without defined sale architecture: no pre-vetted professionals, no valuation protocol, no documented intent, no sequencing plan, and no timeline. When authority exists but execution clarity does not, hesitation follows. Markets interpret uncertainty as risk. Risk suppresses competition. Suppressed competition reduces realized value.

Unrealistic Museum Expectations

Collectors frequently assume museums will accept and retain most or all of their vehicles indefinitely. In practice, museums accept a limited number of vehicles, often require endowment support, prioritize historically unique examples, and may deaccession assets in the future.

The supply of collectible vehicles substantially exceeds institutional capacity. When donation assumptions fail during estate administration, trustees and heirs must pivot to liquidation strategies without preparation. Time pressure replaces planning, and value may decline as a result.

None of these failures arise from negligence. They follow a predictable pattern: collectors plan for ownership; estate documents address transfer; few plans address execution.

Collections are operational assets, not passive holdings. They require coordination, liquidity, authority alignment, sequencing discipline, and informed decision-making during transition. When these elements are absent, value declines quietly — long before any vehicle is sold.

SECTION 6: PLANNING MODELS THAT ACTUALLY WORK

Successful transition of significant vehicle collections rarely depends on complex legal structures. Outcomes instead reflect whether ownership, governance, liquidity, and operational authority

have been deliberately aligned before transition occurs. The following planning models represent structures that consistently function effectively when properly implemented and maintained.

Collection planning typically progresses through levels of structural sophistication depending on the collector's objectives, asset value, and long-term preservation goals.

Basic Estate Planning

Revocable Living Trusts are the foundation of effective collection planning. Trusts offer privacy, administrative continuity during incapacity, and flexibility in drafting detailed distribution provisions. They reduce delay, streamline administration, and serve as the foundational document for advanced planning techniques. Basic planning lays out who is in control upon incapacity and death, what their authority is, and how the estate is to be disbursed. Estate planning is rarely a one-time exercise. Periodic review and updating are essential because trustees and the provisions for beneficiaries may change over time and the planning documents have to be updated to address those changes. The benchmark question is: If the collector died tomorrow, would the estate transition as expected? If the answer is affirmative, existing planning may remain appropriate; if uncertainty exists, revision is warranted, and if the answer is negative, revision is required. Assets located in foreign jurisdictions remain subject to local succession laws, and U.S. trust structures typically function only as beneficiary vehicles rather than governing instruments. For complex collections, the difference between passive title transfer and structured fiduciary governance is substantial.

LLC Ownership

LLCs are frequently used to provide liability insulation and to facilitate estate tax planning. Assets may be transferred into an LLC and ownership interests gifted to beneficiaries, allowing fractionalization of ownership and potential valuation discounts for estate tax purposes. This is a common strategy, but in order for it to work, the assets actually need to be transferred into the LLC. Car collections are acquired over time, often in the name of the individual owner, and if they are not transferred into the LLC prior to death, the benefit of that planning is lost, so formation of the LLC is the first step, and properly moving assets into the LLC is second. Depending on ownership structure and tax classification, assets held within LLCs may not receive the same basis adjustment treatment available through direct ownership or community property structures. Before engaging in this type of planning, an examination of the costs saved versus expenses incurred is necessary to ensure it is worth it.

Trust-Owned Collections

Collectors with revocable living trusts or similar planning structures can streamline fiduciary control after death or upon incapacity. Trust ownership clarifies authority to sell or transfer vehicles, avoids the expenses and delays of probate, centralizes collection governance, and

facilitates coordination with other planning documents. Trust structures may hold both collection assets and the financial resources necessary for storage, maintenance, and administration for the benefit of beneficiaries who may enjoy use of the collection without requiring direct ownership.

Trusts are most effective when title reflects trust ownership so that trustees have explicit authority to manage and sell collection assets, especially when the collection's documentation is maintained alongside the estate documents. Even when vehicles remain titled in the collector's individual name, trustees can transfer ownership through DMV affidavit procedures without probate provided a General Assignment of Assets is in place.

Foundation Ownership with Governance Agreements

When collectors establish private foundations to preserve collections, governance planning becomes as important as ownership structure. Foundations function most effectively when supported by clearly defined mission statements, endowment planning, long-term board governance, operating agreements describing preservation responsibilities, and succession planning for leadership. Without governance clarity, foundations risk becoming custodial entities rather than active preservation institutions. When structured carefully, foundations can support educational programming, museum partnerships, long-term conservation, and the preservation of collection identity. Foundation models require the highest level of institutional planning but can provide long-term cultural continuity for historically significant collections.

Pre-Negotiated Museum Donations

Museums have to be selective about which vehicle donations they can accept, so it is better to begin the inquiry while the collector is alive as to whether the collector's museum of choice, or any museum for that matter, is interested in the vehicles they wish to donate. Beginning discussions during the collector's lifetime allows institutions to evaluate suitability, plan acceptance logistics, and avoid post-death uncertainty for fiduciaries.

Museum Loans

Some collectors balance preservation and control through long-term museum loan arrangements. Loans provide public access, ensure professional storage conditions while maintaining collector ownership. Museums benefit from exhibition content without acquisition obligations and allow collectors and administrators to free physical space while maintaining flexibility for future disposition decisions. Loan structures typically involve formal loan agreements, insurance coordination, conservation standards, and a defined loan duration. This hybrid model often serves as a transitional strategy rather than a permanent solution.

Pre-Negotiated Auction Pathways

Collectors sometimes establish relationships with auction houses or brokers during life to clarify future disposition pathways. Advance planning may include identifying appropriate auction venues, discussing sequencing strategies, maintaining contact with specialists, and preparing documentation for future sales. Pre-negotiated pathways do not commit the estate to immediate sale or even using that auction house, but it does reduce uncertainty for heirs and administrators. Auction houses often encourage this type of preparation because it improves marketing readiness, preserves provenance information, allows realistic expectation setting, and supports better sale sequencing. Advance coordination also reduces decision pressure on fiduciaries operating under post-death administrative timelines.

This approach treats eventual redistribution as part of long-term stewardship planning rather than a last-minute decision. Effective collection planning combines aligned ownership structures, governance clarity, documentation, institutional relationships, and market-transition preparation. These models share a common objective of reducing friction during the transition from collector stewardship to fiduciary stewardship. Planning does not determine whether collections eventually redistribute. It determines whether that redistribution occurs in an orderly or chaotic fashion.

Estate Transition Coordinators

Estate transition coordinators support estate administrators through the operational, institutional, and market complexities of transitioning collections by coordinating heirs, attorneys, appraisers, auction specialists, insurers, museums, and storage providers. The coordinator ensures the right actions happen in the right sequence, nothing falls through the cracks, and the administrator is never left navigating alone. This role does not replace legal, tax, financial, or market professionals — it connects them, keeping all parties informed, aligned, and moving forward through every stage of the process until the estate reaches final distribution.

Across all of these planning models, the overarching objective is reducing friction as stewardship passes from collector through the fiduciary to recipients. Legal structure, governance clarity, documentation, institutional relationships, and market preparation all contribute to that outcome — and each depends on the others. None of these elements operates in isolation, and neither does the administrator responsible for executing them. Effective transition planning anticipates this complexity and puts the right professionals in place before it arrives. The administration team — attorneys, appraisers, insurers, auction specialists, and institutional contacts — brings the necessary expertise, and that expertise requires coordination to be effective.

CONCLUSION

Private automobile collections, like all significant collections, exist within a cycle of ownership that extends beyond any individual's lifetime. The passion that creates a collection is personal, but the

post-death transition of that collection needs to be practical. Preservation does not occur automatically through inheritance, donation, or sale. It requires planning, instruction, authority, funding, coordination, and timing. Stewardship passes from the collector to the administrators, then to heirs and beneficiaries – each transition requiring deliberate preparation.

Several patterns appear repeatedly when collections are transitioned. Collectors spent years planning and carrying out vehicle acquisition and preservation while post-death administration often focuses on the physical transfer of ownership rather than the transition of responsibility. Heirs frequently inherit valuable vehicles without the space, experience, relationships, or financial resources necessary to maintain them. Museums operate within institutional limits that restrict what they can accept and how long they can hold them, and markets respond to timing, narrative, and buyer concentration rather than sentiment. These are not failures of collectors or families, they are simply the practical realities of stewarding large, complex, mechanically vulnerable assets across generations using the resources that are available.

Collections rarely lose value all at once as a result of poor transition planning; value erodes quietly through delay, uncertainty, unclear authority, insufficient liquidity, inexperience, incomplete documentation, and unrealistic assumptions about what will actually happen after the collector dies. When good planning anticipates these operational realities through aligned ownership structures, documentation, governance clarity, institutional relationships, and market preparation, then collections are far more likely to retain both their financial value and historical significance as they move into the next phase of ownership. Stewardship does not end with preservation during life. It includes preparing collections for when the collector is no longer able to care for them. In that sense, transition planning is the final responsibility of collecting.

Despite the availability of effective legal, tax, institutional, and market planning tools, successful transitions rarely occur automatically. Executors and successor trustees are charged with fiduciary responsibility but are seldom equipped to coordinate collectors, heirs, appraisers, auction houses, museums, insurers, transportation specialists, international buyers, and preservation institutions simultaneously. Attorneys draft documents, accountants advise on taxation, auction houses conduct sales, and a transition coordinator integrates these efforts into a coherent process.

The coming decades will bring the largest transition of collector assets in modern history, and this redistribution is inevitable. Whether collections are well preserved, dispersed thoughtfully, properly placed with institutions, or maximally sold into the market will depend not on sentiment but on preparation. Collecting preserves history in the present and transition planning preserves it for the future. Ownership of significant collections is temporary: stewardship is continuous across generations.

A legacy is not just about what was owned, but how it was passed on.

APPENDIX A

Disposition Pathway Comparison Matrix

The following matrix summarizes the principal structural differences among the primary disposition pathways available for significant collector vehicle estates.

Criteria	Tier One Auction	Tier Two Auction	Private Treaty Sale	Museum Donation	Private Foundation Transfer
Market Positioning	International blue-chip focus	Broad enthusiast market	Targeted buyer pool	Institutional curation	Controlled legacy vehicle
Time to Liquidity	3–9 months	2–6 months	Variable	No liquidity	No immediate liquidity (unless later sold)
Seller Fees	10–15% (typical range)	8–12% (typical range)	Negotiated	None (donation)	Administrative costs ongoing
Marketing Exposure	Global collector base	High-volume broadcast	Limited but precise	None (not a sale)	Not applicable
Price Volatility	Market-driven; can exceed estimate	Market-driven	Privately negotiated pricing	Appraised FMV	Appraised FMV
Estate Tax Implications	Included in gross estate	Included in gross estate	Included in gross estate	Charitable deduction if structured properly	Estate deduction if qualifying transfer
Income Tax Considerations	Capital gain if estate sells	Capital gain if estate sells	Capital gain	Charitable deduction subject to appraisal rules	Deduction limitations; subject to foundation rules
Administrative Complexity	Moderate–High	Moderate	Moderate	High documentation	Very high (ongoing compliance)
Control Retained by Estate	Low upon consignment	Low upon consignment	Moderate–High	Very low upon transfer	High (subject to governance rules)
Reputational Impact	Public record sale	Public record sale	Discreet	Legacy preservation	Legacy control

The following observations highlight structural distinctions that materially affect fiduciary decision-making:

- Tier One auctions provide maximum global reach and are best suited for blue-chip and investment-grade vehicles with strong provenance.
- Tier Two auctions are appropriate for broader-market collector vehicles where speed and liquidity are prioritized over curation.
- Private treaty sales offer discretion but depend heavily on advisor network and market timing.
- Museum donation and private foundation transfer are legacy-driven strategies rather than liquidity strategies and require significant pre-death planning to optimize tax outcomes.

APPENDIX B

First 90 Days After Death: Operational Transition Timeline

The first ninety days after the death of a significant collector are determinative. Asset security, insurance continuity, valuation timing and fiduciary coordination materially affect preservation of value and beneficiary stability.

Phase I: Day 1–30 – Stabilization
Objective: Preserve control, secure assets and prevent premature disposition.

Priority	Action
Governing Document Review	Locate and review all governing planning documents and entity Agreements.
Asset Security	Restrict access; secure storage facilities; document condition of Assets.
Title Verification	Confirm ownership structure (Individual, LLC, Trust).
Insurance	Confirm coverage: notify carrier of death; verify continuity of agreed value policies.
Documentation	Locate titles, create complete written and photographic inventory, reconcile provenance documentation .
Advisor Coordination	Notify estate counsel, CPA and financial advisor.

Phase II: Days 30–60 – Valuation and Strategic Direction
Objective: Establish accurate valuation and align fiduciary strategy.

Priority	Action
Beneficiary Communication	Initiate structured communication with all beneficiaries.
Appraisals	Obtain qualified appraisals for estate tax reporting and basis Determination.
Market Assessment	Determine market tier classification and liquidity profile.
Liquidity Analysis	Evaluate estate cash needs.
Beneficiary Alignment	Assess beneficiary retention preferences.
Pathway Selection	Identify appropriate disposition pathways (retention, foundation, donation, or sale)

Phase III: Days 60–90 – Execution Framework
Objective: Implement structured disposition consistent with fiduciary duties.

Priority	Action
Maintain Communication	Report admin status to beneficiaries and team members
Consignment Agreements	Negotiate auction and/or broker terms
Foundation Structuring	If applicable, initiate formation
Donation Documentation	Coordinate with museum legal departments
Sales Logistics	Transport, photography, catalog preparation
Compliance	Maintain fiduciary documentation trail

Confusion, delay, or informal "wait and see" approaches frequently result in beneficiary conflict, increased carrying costs, tax exposure, asset deterioration, and missed market windows.

APPENDIX C

Private Foundation Structural Overview

Private foundations may provide long-term legacy continuity for significant collections, but they impose ongoing regulatory, governance, and administrative burdens.

Structural Characteristics

- Separate legal entity (typically nonprofit corporation or trust)
- IRS recognition as 501(c)(3) organization
- Governed by board of directors or trustees with fiduciary duties
- Subject to excise tax regime governing private foundations
- Subject to annual filing requirements (Form 990-PF)
- Subject to 5% annual distribution rule
- Subject to strict self-dealing prohibitions

Key Considerations for Vehicle Collections

- Ongoing maintenance and storage costs
- Appraisal requirements at transfer
- Illiquidity risk where operating expenses exceed available cash flow
- Public disclosure of foundation filings
- Restrictions on personal use by disqualified persons
- Governance succession planning

Risk Profile

Without pre-death funding strategy, liquidity planning, and governance succession design, foundations holding illiquid automotive assets may become administratively burdensome, financially strained, or forced into asset liquidation contrary to donor intent.

Foundations are legacy vehicles — not liquidity tools.

Glossary

Blue-Chip Vehicle
A historically significant collector automobile with established long-term market performance, international demand, and verified provenance.

Beneficiary Alignment
The degree to which heirs share a consistent position regarding retention, sale, donation, or liquidation of collection assets.

Coachbuilt
A vehicle featuring custom bodywork produced by an independent coachbuilder rather than the original manufacturer.

Collector–Heir Mismatch
A structural disconnect between the decedent's passion for collecting and the beneficiaries' lack of interest, expertise, or desire to retain the assets.

Concours-Level
A vehicle restored or preserved to the highest judged standard in competitive automotive exhibitions.

Disqualified Person (Private Foundation Context)
An individual prohibited from engaging in certain transactions with a private foundation under federal self-dealing rules.

Disposition Pathway
The structured method by which a collection is transferred, sold, donated, or otherwise transitioned following the collector's death.

Estate Administrator (as used herein)
The executor or successor trustee acting in a fiduciary capacity responsible for estate administration and asset transition.

Excise Tax Regime (Private Foundations)
The federal regulatory framework imposing taxes and compliance obligations specific to private foundations.

Fiduciary
An individual legally obligated to act in the best interests of beneficiaries, exercising loyalty, prudence, and impartiality.

Foundation Governance
The oversight structure of a private foundation, typically exercised by a board of directors or trustees with defined fiduciary responsibilities.

Illiquidity Risk
The potential inability to convert assets into cash without significant delay, discount, or operational strain.

Investment-Grade Vehicle
A collector automobile possessing characteristics that support sustained demand and value retention in established markets.

Legacy Structure
A legal or institutional structure designed to preserve assets or donor intent beyond the lifetime of the original owner.

Market Tier Classification
Categorization of vehicles based on quality, rarity, provenance, and demand, influencing appropriate sales venue.

Matching Numbers
A condition in which a vehicle retains its original engine, transmission, and major components as delivered by the manufacturer.

Private Treaty Sale
A negotiated, non-public transaction between seller and buyer outside the auction process.

Provenance
Documented ownership history and historical significance contributing to authenticity and market value.

Reputational Impact
The effect that the chosen disposition method may have on public perception of the collector and estate.

Self-Dealing
Prohibited transactions between a private foundation and certain insiders, governed by federal tax law.

Stewardship
The principle that significant collector vehicles are held temporarily in trust for preservation and future custodianship.

Tier One Auction
An internationally recognized curated auction platform specializing in blue-chip collector vehicles.

Tier Two Auction
A high-volume auction venue serving a broader segment of the collector vehicle market.

About the Author

Barry Finkelstein is a California estate planning attorney and advisor specializing in complex asset transition and fiduciary administration. Since 2000, he has advised affluent individuals and families throughout the Bay Area on estate planning structures designed to preserve multigenerational wealth while mitigating fiduciary and tax risk.

In addition to his legal practice, Mr. Finkelstein has longstanding involvement in the collector automobile community. He has volunteered with the San Francisco Concours, led the Drive for the Palo Alto Concours, and has served on the organizing committee of the Hillsborough Concours d'Elegance since 2015. Through this work, he has observed firsthand the operational and fiduciary challenges that arise when significant collections transition without structured planning.

Mr. Finkelstein also provides estate transition coordinator services for clients navigating the operational, institutional, and market complexities described in this paper — coordinating among fiduciaries, beneficiaries, auction professionals, appraisers, tax advisors, and institutions to support disciplined and orderly collection transitions.

Disclaimer

This white paper is provided for informational and educational purposes only and reflects the author's analysis based on publicly available market information, institutional policies, and professional observations regarding estates that include collectible assets. It is intended as a general discussion of common transition patterns affecting collector vehicle collections and related fiduciary administration. Nothing contained herein constitutes legal, tax, accounting, investment, or valuation advice. The strategies and structural considerations discussed are illustrative in nature and may not apply to specific factual circumstances. Reading this publication does not create an attorney–client relationship. Individuals and fiduciaries should consult qualified legal, tax, and financial professionals regarding their particular situation before taking action based on the concepts discussed. Laws and regulations change over time, and the application of legal principles may vary based on jurisdiction and specific facts.